MATEMATIKA, 2011, Volume 27, Number 2, 129–138 ©Department of Mathematical Sciences, UTM

Strong Convergence Theorems for a Finite Family of Quasi-nonexpansive and Asymptotically Quasi-nonexpansive Mappings in Banach spaces

Gurucharan Singh Saluja

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, Govt. Nagarjuna P.G. College of Science, Raipur - 492010 (C.G.), India. e-mail: saluja_1963@rediffmail.com, saluja1963@gmail.com

Abstract In this paper, we give the sufficient condition for strong convergence of finite step iteration sequences with errors to a common fixed point for a pair of a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in closed convex subset of a Banach spaces. The results presented in this paper generalize, improve and unify the corresponding results in [1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 10].

Keywords Quasi-nonexpansive mapping; asymptotically quasi nonexpansive mapping; common fixed point; multi-step iteration scheme.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 47H09; 47H10.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space, K be a nonempty subset of E and $S, T: K \to K$ be two mappings. We recall the following definitions:

Definition 1 Let $T: K \to K$ be a mapping:

(1) T is said to be nonexpansive if

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le ||x - y||$$

for all $x, y \in K$.

(2) T is said to be quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$||Tx - p|| \le ||x - p||$$

for all $x \in K$, $p \in F(T)$.

(3) T is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ in $[0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = 0$ such that

$$||T^{n}x - T^{n}y|| \le (1 + \lambda_{n}) ||x - y||$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and $n \ge 1$.

(4) T is said to be asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ in $[0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = 0$ such that

$$||T^n x - p|| \le (1 + \lambda_n) ||x - p||$$

for all $x \in K$, $p \in F(T)$ and $n \ge 1$.

(5) T is said to be uniformly L-Lipschitzian if there exists a positive constant L such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \le L \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and $n \ge 1$.

(6) T is said to be uniformly quasi-Lipschitzian if there exists $L \in [1, +\infty)$ such that

$$||T^n x - p|| \le L ||x - p||$$

for all $x \in K$, $p \in F(T)$ and $n \ge 1$.

From the above definitions, it follows that if F(T) is nonempty, a nonexpansive mapping must be quasi-nonexpansive, an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping must be asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive, a uniformly *L*-Lipschitzian mapping must be uniformly quasi-Lipschitzian and an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping must be uniformly quasi-Lipschitzian. But the converse does not hold.

Recall also that strong convergence is the type of convergence usually associated with convergence of a sequence. More formally, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of vectors in a normed space (and, in particular, in an inner product space E) is called convergent to a vector x in E if

$$||x_n - x|| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

In 1973, Petryshyn and Williamson [9] established a necessary and sufficient condition for a Mann [8] iterative sequence to converge strongly to a fixed point of a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. Subsequently, Ghosh and Debnath [2] extended the results of [9] and obtained some necessary and sufficient condition for an Ishikawa-type iterative sequence to converge to a fixed point of a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. In 2001, Liu in [4,5] extended the results of Ghosh and Debnath [2] to the more general asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. In 2006, Shahzad and Udomene [10] gave the necessary and sufficient condition for convergence of common fixed point of two-step modified Ishikawa iterative sequence for two asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in real Banach space. In 2007, Chidume and Ali [1] gave the necessary and sufficient condition for a strong convergence of common fixed point for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in real Banach spaces.

Recently, Liu et al. in [6,7] study the weak and strong convergence of common fixed points for modified two and modified three-step iteration sequence with errors with respect to a pair of mappings S and T.

Motivated and inspired by Liu et al. in [6,7] and others, we study the following iteration scheme for a pair of a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. Our scheme is as follows:

Definition 2 Let K be a nonempty convex subset of a normed linear space E and

$$S_i, T_i \colon K \to K$$

for all i = 1, 2, ..., N be two families of mappings. For an arbitrary $x_1 \in K$, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ defined by:

Strong Convergence Theorems for a Finite Family of Quasi-nonexpansive Mappings

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= x_n^{(N)} &= \alpha_n^{(N)} T_N^n x_n^{(N-1)} + \beta_n^{(N)} S_N x_n + \gamma_n^{(N)} u_n^{(N)} \\ x_n^{(N-1)} &= \alpha_n^{(N-1)} T_{N-1}^n x_n^{(N-2)} + \beta_n^{(N-1)} S_{N-1} x_n + \gamma_n^{(N-1)} u_n^{(N-1)} \\ \dots &= \dots \\ \dots &= \dots \\ x_n^{(3)} &= \alpha_n^{(3)} T_3^n x_n^{(2)} + \beta_n^{(3)} S_3 x_n + \gamma_n^{(3)} u_n^{(3)} \\ x_n^{(2)} &= \alpha_n^{(2)} T_2^n x_n^{(1)} + \beta_n^{(2)} S_2 x_n + \gamma_n^{(2)} u_n^{(2)} \\ x_n^{(1)} &= \alpha_n^{(1)} T_1^n x_n + \beta_n^{(1)} S_1 x_n + \gamma_n^{(1)} u_n^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$
(1)

is called multi-step iterative sequences with errors, where $\{u_n^{(i)}\}\$ are bounded sequences in K and $\{\alpha_n^{(i)}\}$, $\{\beta_n^{(i)}\}$, $\{\gamma_n^{(i)}\}\$ are sequences in [0, 1] such that $\alpha_n^{(i)} + \beta_n^{(i)} + \gamma_n^{(i)} = 1$, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Remark 1 In case $S_1 = S_2 = \cdots = S_N = I$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ generated in (1) reduces to the multi-step iteration with errors for N asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings.

The purpose of this paper is to give necessary and sufficient condition for strong convergence of finite step iteration sequences with error terms defined by (1) to a common fixed point for a pair of a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in a real Banach space. The results presented in this paper generalize, improve and unify the corresponding results of [1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 10] and many others.

In the sequel we need the following lemmas to prove our main results:

Lemma 1 ([11]; Lemma 1): Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers satisfying the inequality

$$\alpha_{n+1} \le (1+\beta_n)\alpha_n + r_n, \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n$ exists. In particular, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which converges to zero, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$.

Lemma 2 Let K be a nonempty convex subset of a normed linear space E. Let

$$S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N \colon K \to K$$

be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N \colon K \to K$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{\lambda_{in}\} \subset [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_{in} = 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{in} < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and

$$F(S,T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \cap F(T_i) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ defined by (1) with the restrictions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(i)} < \infty$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N. Then

131

- (a) $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n q||$ exists for any $q \in F(S, T)$.
- (b) There exists a constant K' > 0 such that $||x_{n+m} q|| \leq K' ||x_n q|| + K' \sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} d_k^{(N)}$, for all $n, m \geq 1$ and $q \in F(S, T)$, where $K' = e^{\sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} t_k}$.

Proof (a) Let $q \in F(S,T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \cap F(T_i)$. Since $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N are bounded sequences in K. So we can set

$$D = \max\{\sup_{n \ge 1} \left\| u_n^{(i)} - q \right\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Since S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N are quasi-nonexpansive and T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N are asymptotically quasinonexpansive mappings, it follows from (1), that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| &= \left\| \alpha_{n}^{(1)} T_{1}^{n} x_{n} + \beta_{n}^{(1)} S_{1} x_{n} + \gamma_{n}^{(1)} u_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(1)} \left\| T_{1}^{n} x_{n} - q \right\| + \beta_{n}^{(1)} \left\| S_{1} x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(1)} \left\| u_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(1)} (1 + \lambda_{1n}) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \beta_{n}^{(1)} \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(1)} \left\| u_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \left[\alpha_{n}^{(1)} + \beta_{n}^{(1)} \right] (1 + \lambda_{1n}) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(1)} \left\| u_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| \\ &= \left[1 - \gamma_{n}^{(1)} \right] (1 + \lambda_{1n}) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(1)} \left\| u_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \lambda_{1n} \right) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(1)} D \\ &\leq \left(1 + \lambda_{1n} \right) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + d_{n}^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$
(2)

where $d_n^{(1)} = \gamma_n^{(1)} D$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(1)} < \infty$, we can see that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(1)} < \infty$. It follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x_{n}^{(2)} - q \right\| &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(2)} \left\| T_{2}^{n} x_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| + \beta_{n}^{(2)} \left\| S_{2} x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(2)} \left\| u_{n}^{(2)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(2)} (1 + \lambda_{2n}) \left\| x_{n}^{(1)} - q \right\| + \beta_{n}^{(2)} \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(2)} \left\| u_{n}^{(2)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(2)} (1 + \lambda_{2n}) [(1 + \lambda_{1n}) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + d_{n}^{(1)} \right] + \beta_{n}^{(2)} \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| \\ &+ \gamma_{n}^{(2)} \left\| u_{n}^{(2)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \left[\alpha_{n}^{(2)} + \beta_{n}^{(2)} \right] (1 + \lambda_{1n}) (1 + \lambda_{2n}) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \alpha_{n}^{(2)} (1 + \lambda_{2n}) d_{n}^{(1)} \\ &+ \gamma_{n}^{(2)} \left\| u_{n}^{(2)} - q \right\| \\ &= \left[1 - \gamma_{n}^{(2)} \right] (1 + \lambda_{1n}) (1 + \lambda_{2n}) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \alpha_{n}^{(2)} (1 + \lambda_{2n}) d_{n}^{(1)} \\ &+ \gamma_{n}^{(2)} D \\ &\leq \left(1 + \lambda_{1n}) (1 + \lambda_{2n}) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + (1 + \lambda_{2n}) d_{n}^{(1)} \\ &+ \gamma_{n}^{(2)} D \\ &\leq \left[1 + \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n} (1 + \lambda_{1n}) \right] \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + d_{n}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$
(3)

where

$$d_n^{(2)} = (1 + \lambda_{2n})d_n^{(1)} + \gamma_n^{(2)}D.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(2)} < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(1)} < \infty$, we can see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(2)} < \infty.$$

It follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x_{n}^{(3)} - q \right\| &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(3)} \left\| T_{3}^{n} x_{n}^{(2)} - q \right\| + \beta_{n}^{(3)} \left\| S_{3} x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(3)} \left\| u_{n}^{(3)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(3)} (1 + \lambda_{3n}) \left\| x_{n}^{(2)} - q \right\| + \beta_{n}^{(3)} \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(3)} \left\| u_{n}^{(3)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n}^{(3)} (1 + \lambda_{3n}) [(1 + \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n}(1 + \lambda_{1n})) \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + d_{n}^{(2)}] \\ &\quad + \beta_{n}^{(3)} \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + \gamma_{n}^{(3)} \left\| u_{n}^{(3)} - q \right\| \\ &\leq (\alpha_{n}^{(3)} + \beta_{n}^{(3)}) [(1 + \lambda_{3n})(1 + \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n}(1 + \lambda_{1n}))] \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_{n}^{(3)} (1 + \lambda_{3n}) d_{n}^{(2)} + \gamma_{n}^{(3)} \left\| u_{n}^{(3)} - q \right\| \\ &= [1 - \gamma_{n}^{(3)}] [(1 + \lambda_{3n})(1 + \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n}(1 + \lambda_{1n}))] \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_{n}^{(3)} (1 + \lambda_{3n}) d_{n}^{(2)} + \gamma_{n}^{(3)} D \\ &\leq [(1 + \lambda_{3n})(1 + \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n}(1 + \lambda_{1n}))] \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| \\ &\quad + (1 + \lambda_{3n}) d_{n}^{(2)} + \gamma_{n}^{(3)} D \\ &\leq [1 + \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n}(1 + \lambda_{1n}) + \lambda_{3n}(1 + \lambda_{1n})(1 + \lambda_{2n})] \left\| x_{n} - q \right\| + d_{n}^{(3)}, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_n^{(3)} = (1 + \lambda_{3n})d_n^{(2)} + \gamma_n^{(3)}D.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(3)} < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(2)} < \infty$, we can see that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(3)} < \infty$. Continuing the above process, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - q\| &= \|x_n^{(N)} - q\| \\ &\leq [1 + \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n}(1 + \lambda_{1n}) + \lambda_{3n}(1 + \lambda_{1n})(1 + \lambda_{2n}) \\ &+ \dots + \lambda_{Nn}(1 + \lambda_{1n})(1 + \lambda_{2n}) \dots (1 + \lambda_{(N-1)n})] \|x_n - q\| + d_n^{(N)} \\ &\leq (1 + t_n) \|x_n - q\| + d_n^{(N)} \end{aligned}$$
(5)

where

$$t_n = \lambda_{1n} + \lambda_{2n} (1 + \lambda_{1n}) + \lambda_{3n} (1 + \lambda_{1n}) (1 + \lambda_{2n}) + \dots$$
$$+ \lambda_{Nn} (1 + \lambda_{1n}) (1 + \lambda_{2n}) \dots (1 + \lambda_{(N-1)n}).$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{in} < \infty$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N, it follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n < \infty$ and by assumptions of the theorem $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(N)} < \infty$. From Lemma 1, we know that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - q||$ exists. This completes the proof of part (a).

133

(b) Since $1 + x \le e^x$ for all x > 0. Then from part (a) it can be obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+m} - q\| &\leq (1 + t_{n+m-1}) \|x_{n+m-1} - q\| + d_{n+m-1}^{(N)} \\ &\leq e^{t_{n+m-1}} \|x_{n+m-1} - q\| + d_{n+m-1}^{(N)} \\ &\leq e^{t_{n+m-1}} [e^{t_{n+m-2}} \|x_{n+m-2} - q\| + d_{n+m-2}^{(N)}] + d_{n+m-1}^{(N)} \\ &\leq e^{(t_{n+m-1} + t_{n+m-2})} \|x_{n+m-2} - q\| + e^{t_{n+m-1}} d_{n+m-2}^{(N)} + d_{n+m-1}^{(N)} \\ &\leq e^{(t_{n+m-1} + t_{n+m-2})} \|x_{n+m-2} - q\| + e^{t_{n+m-1}} [d_{n+m-2}^{(N)} + d_{n+m-1}^{(N)}] \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq e^{\sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} t_k} \|x_n - q\| + e^{\sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} t_k} \sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} d_k^{(N)} \\ &\leq K' \|x_n - q\| + K' \sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} d_k^{(N)}, \text{ where } K' = e^{\sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} t_k}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of part (b). \Box

Now, we are in the position to prove the main result of this paper.

2 Main Results

In this section, we prove the strong convergence theorems for a finite family of quasinonexpansive mappings and a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings by using iteration scheme (1) in the framework of Banach spaces.

Theorem 1 Let E be a real Banach space and K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N \colon K \to K$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N \colon K \to K$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{\lambda_{in}\} \subset [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_{in} = 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{in} < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $F(S, T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \cap F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ defined by (1) with the restrictions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(i)} < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Then $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N, T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F(S, T)) = 0$, where d(x, F(S, T)) denotes the distance between x and the set F(S, T).

Proof The necessity is obvious. Thus we only prove the sufficiency. For all $q \in F(S, T)$, by equation (5) of Lemma 2, we have

$$||x_{n+1} - q|| \leq (1 + t_n) ||x_n - q|| + d_n^{(N)}, \ \forall n \in N$$
(6)

since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(N)} < \infty$, so from equation (6), we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, F(S, T)) \leq (1+t_n)d(x_n, F(S, T)) + d_n^{(N)}$$
(7)

Since $\liminf_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F(S, T)) = 0$ and from Lemma 1, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F(S, T)) = 0$.

Next we will show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For all $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, from Lemma 2(b), it can be known there must exists a constant K' > 0 such that

$$|x_{n+m} - q|| \leq K' ||x_n - q|| + K' \sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} d_k^{(N)}, \ \forall n, m \in N, \ \forall q \in F(S, T).$$
(8)

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F(S,T)) = 0$ and $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_k^{(N)} < \infty$, then there must exists a constant N_1 , such that when $n \ge N_1$

$$d(x_n,F(S,T)) < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4(K'+1)}, \text{ and } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_k^{(N)} < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2K'}$$

So there must exists $q^* \in F(S, T)$, such that

$$d(x_{N_1}, F(S, T)) = ||x_{N_1} - q^*|| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2(K'+1)}.$$

From (8), it can be obtained that when $n \ge N_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+m} - x_n\| &\leq \|x_{n+m} - q^*\| + \|x_n - q^*\| \\ &\leq K' \|x_{N_1} - q^*\| + K' \sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} d_k^{(N)} + \|x_{N_1} - q^*\| \\ &\leq (K'+1) \|x_{N_1} - p^*\| + K' \sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} d_k^{(N)} \\ &< (K'+1) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2(K'+1)} + K' \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2K'} \\ &< \varepsilon_1 \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_n\| < \varepsilon_1$$

This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and so is convergent since E is complete. Let $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = y^*$. Then $y^* \in K$. It remains to show that $y^* \in F(S,T)$. Let $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ be given. Then there exists a natural number N_2 such that

$$||x_n - y^*|| < \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2(L+1)}, \ \forall n \ge N_2.$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F(S,T)) = 0$, there must exists a natural number $N_3 \ge N_2$ such that for all $n \ge N_3$, we have

$$d(x_n, F(S, T)) < \frac{\varepsilon_2}{3(L+1)},$$

and in particular, we have

$$d(x_{N_3}, F(S, T)) < \frac{\varepsilon_2}{3(L+1)}.$$

Therefore, there exists $z^* \in F(S, T)$ such that

$$||x_{N_3} - z^*|| < \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2(L+1)}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{split} \|T_{i}y^{*} - y^{*}\| &= \|T_{i}y^{*} - z^{*} + z^{*} - x_{N_{3}} + x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq \|Ty^{*} - z^{*}\| + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq L \|y^{*} - z^{*}\| + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq L \|y^{*} - x_{N_{3}} + x_{N_{3}} - z^{*}\| + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq L[\|y^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - z^{*}\|] + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq (L+1) \|y^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + (L+1) \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| \\ &\leq (L+1) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{2(L+1)} + (L+1) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{2(L+1)} \\ &< \varepsilon_{2}. \end{split}$$

This implies that $y^* \in F(T_i)$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N. Similarly, we can show that $y^* \in F(S_i)$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N. Since S_i for all i = 1, 2, ..., N is quasi-nonexpansive, so it is uniformly quasi-1 Lipschitzian, so here taking L = 1, we have

$$\begin{split} \|S_{i}y^{*} - y^{*}\| &= \|S_{i}y^{*} - z^{*} + z^{*} - x_{N_{3}} + x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq \|Sy^{*} - z^{*}\| + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq \|y^{*} - z^{*}\| + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq \|y^{*} - x_{N_{3}} + x_{N_{3}} - z^{*}\| + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq [\|y^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - z^{*}\|] + \|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + \|x_{N_{3}} - y^{*}\| \\ &\leq 2\|y^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + 2\|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| \\ &\leq 2 \|y^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| + 2\|z^{*} - x_{N_{3}}\| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{4} + 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{4} \\ &< \varepsilon_{2}. \end{split}$$

This shows that $y^* \in F(S_i)$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N. Thus $y^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap F(T_i)$, that is, y^* is a common fixed point of $S_1, S_2, ..., S_N, T_1, T_2, ..., T_N$. This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 2 Let *E* be a real Banach space and *K* be a nonempty closed convex subset of *E*. Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N : K \to K$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N : K \to K$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{\lambda_{in}\} \subset [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_{in} = 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{in} < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $F(S, T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \cap F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ defined by (1) with the restrictions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(i)} < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Then $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N, T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N$ if and only if there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which converges to q.

Proof The proof of Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 1. This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 3 Let E be a real Banach space and K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N \colon K \to K$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N \colon K \to K$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{\lambda_{in}\} \subset [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_{in} = 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{in} < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $F(S, T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \cap F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ defined by (1) with the restrictions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(i)} < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Suppose that the mappings S_i and T_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n S_i x_n\| = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n T_i x_n\| = 0$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N;
- (ii) there exists a constant A > 0 such that
 - $\{\|x_n S_i x_n\| + \|x_n T_i x_n\|\} \ge Ad(x_n, F(S, T))$

for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and for all $n \ge 1$.

Then $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N, T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N$.

Proof From condition (i) and (ii), we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F(S,T)) = 0$, it follows as in the proof of Theorem 1, that $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ must converges strongly to a common fixed point of $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N, T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N$. This completes the proof. \Box

Remark 2 Theorem 1 extend, improve and unify the corresponding results of [1,2,4,5,9,10]. Especially Theorem 1 extend, improve and unify Theorem 1 and 2 in [5], Theorem 1 in [4] and Theorem 3.2 in [10] in the following ways:

- (1) The identity mapping in [4], [5] and [10] is replaced by the more general quasinonexpansive mapping.
- (2) The usual Ishikawa iteration scheme [3] in [4], the usual modified Ishikawa iteration scheme with errors in [5] and the usual modified Ishikawa iteration scheme with errors for two mappings in [10] are extended to the multi-step iteration scheme with errors with respect to a pair of a finite family of mappings.

Remark 3 Theorem 2 extend, improve and unify Theorem 3 in [5] and Theorem 3 extend, improve and unify Theorem 3 in [4] in the following aspects:

- (1) The identity mapping in [4] and [5] is replaced by the more general quasi-nonexpansive mapping.
- (2) The usual Ishikawa iteration scheme [3] in [4] and the usual modified Ishikawa iteration scheme with errors in [5] are extended to the multi-step iteration scheme with errors with respect to a pair of a finite family of mappings.

Remark 4 Theorem 1 also extends, improves and unifies Theorem 3.2 in [1] in the following aspects:

- (1) The identity mapping in [1] is replaced by the more general quasi-nonexpansive mapping.
- (2) The finite step iteration scheme in [1] is extended to the multi-step iteration scheme with errors with respect to a pair of a finite families of mappings.

3 Conclusion

Our results are good improvement and generalization of the corresponding results of [1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 10].

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions and comments on the manuscript.

References

- Chidume abd Bashir Ali, C. E. Convergence theorems for finite families of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. J. Inequal. Appl. 2007. Article ID 68616: 10.
- [2] Ghosh, M. K. and Debnath, L. Convergence of Ishikawa iterates of quasi-nonexpansive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1997. 207: 96–103.
- [3] Ishikawa, S. Fixed points by a new iteration method. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1974. 44: 147–150.
- [4] Liu, Q. H. Iterative sequences for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2001. 259: 1–7.
- [5] Liu, Q. H. Iterative sequences for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with error member. J. Math. Anal. Appl.. 2001. 259: 18–24.
- [6] Zeqing Liu, Agarwal, Ravi, P., Chi Feng and Shin Min Kang. Weak and strong convergence theorems of common fixed points for a pair of nonexpansive and asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat., Mathematica. 2005. 44: 83–96.
- [7] Zeqing Liu, Chi Feng, Jeong Sheok Ume and Shin Min Kang. Weak and strong convergence for common fixed points of a pair of nonexpansive and asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. *Taiw. J. Math.*. 2007. 11(1): 27–42.
- [8] Mann, W. R. Mean value methods in iteration. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1953. 4: 506-510.
- [9] Petryshyn, W. V. and Williamson, T.E. Strong and weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for quasi-nonexpansive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl.. 1973. 43: 459–497.
- [10] Shahzad, N. and Udomene, A. Approximating common fixed points of two asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2006. Article ID 18909:10.
- [11] Tan, K. K. and Xu, H. K. Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1993. 178: 301–308.