

## A Note About Configuration of A Group

<sup>1</sup>Ali Tavakoli, <sup>2</sup>Ali Rejali, <sup>3</sup>Akram Yousofzadeh, <sup>4</sup>Alireza Abdollahi

<sup>1,2,4</sup> Department of Mathematics,  
University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics,  
Mobarakeh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

**Abstract** In 2001, Rosenblatt and Willis defined the concept of configuration of a group to give a condition for amenability of groups. In this paper, we study the relation between configuration and commutator subgroup  $G'$  of  $G$  and prove that if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two finitely generated groups with the same configuration set, then  $\frac{G_1}{G_1'} \cong \frac{G_2}{G_2'}$  and if  $G_1'$  and  $G_2'$  are finite, then  $G_1' \cong G_2'$ . Also, we prove that if two free finitely generated Burnside groups of finite exponent have the same configuration set, then they must be isomorphic.

**Keywords** Configuration; Amenability; Commutator Subgroup; Burnside Group

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification** 20F24; 43A07

### 1 Introduction

In [1], Rosenblatt and Willis defined the concept of configuration of groups for the first time and applied it to prove that “weak convergence is not strong convergence for amenable groups”. The definition of the configuration of a finitely generated group  $G$  is given in the following.

**Definition 1** Suppose that  $G$  is a finitely generated group. Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_m\}$  be a finite partition for  $G$  and  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  be an ordered set of generators for  $G$ . A configuration corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  is an  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $C = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n)$  such that  $c_j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and there is an element  $x_0 \in G$  with  $x_0 \in E_{c_0}$  such that  $x_j = g_j x_0 \in E_{c_j}$  for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The element  $x_0$  is called a base point of  $C$  and the elements  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, n$  are called branch points of  $C$ . The set of all such configurations  $C$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  is denoted by  $Con(\mathbf{g}, \mathcal{E})$ . Also, the set of all configuration sets of  $G$  will be denoted by  $Con(G)$ .

A group property  $\mathbf{P}$  is said to be characterized by configuration if a finitely generated group  $G_1$  has  $\mathbf{P}$  and if  $Con(G_1) = Con(G_2)$  for another finitely generated group  $G_2$ , then  $G_2$  has also  $\mathbf{P}$ . The being finite property, periodic property and Abelian property can be characterized by configuration (see [2]) and more generally the group property of being nilpotent of class  $c$  can be characterized by configuration (see [3]). In the following theorem, the amenability of groups is characterized by configuration.

**Theorem 1** Suppose that  $Con(G_1) = Con(G_2)$ , then we have:

- (i)  $G_1$  is amenable if and only if  $G_2$  is amenable.
- (ii)  $G_1'$  is amenable if and only if  $G_2'$  is amenable; where  $G'$  is commutator subgroup of  $G$ .
- (iii)  $\tau(G_1) = \tau(G_2)$ ; where  $\tau(G)$  is Tarski number of  $G$ .

**Proof** See [4]. □

## 2 Configuration and commutator subgroup

In this section, we study the relation between configuration and commutator subgroup. Those groups whose commutator subgroup has finite index, are important to us. In [5] some of these groups are studied; for a simple example let  $G$  be a finitely generated groups in which every element has finite order (periodic groups), then  $\frac{G}{G'}$  is finite. In section 3 we will introduce a class of groups which satisfy this property.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be finitely generated groups and  $Con(G_1) = Con(G_2)$ , then:*

$$|G_1| = |G_2| \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{G_1}{G'_1} \cong \frac{G_2}{G'_2}.$$

**Proof** Let  $G_1$  be infinite. Thus it is countable and  $|G_1| = \aleph_0 = |G_2|$ . To prove the next assertion we use Proposition 2.3 of [3]; since  $G'_1 \trianglelefteq G_1$ , then there exists  $N_2 \trianglelefteq G_2$  such that  $\frac{G_1}{G'_1} \cong \frac{G_2}{N_2}$ . Therefore,  $\frac{G_2}{N_2}$  is Abelian, then  $G'_2 \subseteq N_2$ . So we have:

$$\frac{G_1}{G'_1} \cong \frac{G_2}{N_2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{G_2}{G'_2} \cong \frac{G_1}{N_1},$$

where  $N_1 \trianglelefteq G_1$  with  $\frac{G_2}{G'_2} \cong \frac{G_1}{N_1}$ .

Now consider the following diagram:

$$\frac{G_1}{G'_1} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \frac{G_1}{N_1} \xrightarrow{\psi} \frac{G_2}{G'_2} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \frac{G_2}{N_2} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \frac{G_1}{G'_1},$$

where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are natural epimorphisms. Thus we have a surjective endomorphism on  $\frac{G_1}{G'_1}$ . Since  $\frac{G_1}{G'_1}$  and  $\frac{G_2}{G'_2}$  are finitely generated Abelian groups, then they are Hopfian. So this surjective endomorphism is injective and thus  $\pi_1$  is an isomorphism. This implies that  $G'_2 \cong N_2$ . Therefore

$$\frac{G_1}{G'_1} \cong \frac{G_2}{G'_2}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 1** Let  $G$  be a group. Let  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of finite quotients of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of Abelian quotients of  $G$ . We say that groups  $G$  and  $H$  have isomorphic finite quotients if  $\mathcal{F}(G) = \mathcal{F}(H)$  and we say that they have isomorphic Abelian quotients if  $\mathcal{A}(G) = \mathcal{A}(H)$ . It is shown in [2] and [3] two finitely generated groups have isomorphic finite quotients and isomorphic Abelian quotients if they have the same configuration set.

For a group  $G$ , let  $Z(G)$  denote the center of  $G$ .

**Lemma 1** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be finitely generated groups with finite commutator subgroups such that  $Con(G_1) = Con(G_2)$ . Then

- (i)  $G_1 \times \mathbb{Z} \cong G_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii)  $G'_1 \cong G'_2$ .
- (iii)  $Z(G_1) \cong Z(G_2)$ .

**Proof** The proof of (i) follows from Remark 1 and Theorem 2.1 of [6]. Part (ii) can be concluded from the part (i) easily.

By using (i),  $Z(G_1) \times \mathbb{Z} \cong Z(G_2) \times \mathbb{Z}$ . But  $Z(G_1)$  and  $Z(G_2)$  are Abelian and then they must be isomorphic. This proved (iii).  $\square$

The following theorem is a generalization of Corollary 3.4 of [3].

**Theorem 3** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $F$  be finitely generated groups with finite commutator subgroups such that  $Con(G_1) = Con(G_2 \times F \times \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $G_1 \cong G_2 \times F \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof** Since  $G_1$  and  $G_2 \times F \times \mathbb{Z}$  have finite commutator subgroups, then by Lemma 1 part (i), we have  $G_1 \times \mathbb{Z} \cong G_2 \times F \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $G_1 \cong G_2 \times F \times \mathbb{Z}$  by Lemma 1 in [7].  $\square$

**Question 1** Here there is a natural question; let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be finitely generated groups and  $Con(G_1) = Con(G_2)$ . Does it follow that  $G'_1 \cong G'_2$ ? Or in other words, can we say that the finiteness of  $G'_1$  implies the finiteness of  $G'_2$ ?

Note that if  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  is periodic then the other is periodic too and by Theorem 2 we have  $|G'_1| = |G'_2|$ . On the other hand, there are two finite non-Abelian periodic groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that:

$$Z(G_1) \cong Z(G_2), \quad G'_1 \cong G'_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{G_1}{G'_1} \cong \frac{G_2}{G'_2},$$

but

$$G_1 \not\cong G_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Con(G_1) \neq Con(G_2).$$

As an example, set  $G_1 = D_8$  (dihedral group of order 8) and  $G_2 = Q_8$  (quaternion group of order 8).

### 3 Burnside groups

The Burnside problem, posed by Burnside in 1902 and one of the oldest and most influential questions in group theory, asks whether a finitely generated group in which every element has finite order must necessarily be a finite group. The problem has many variants that differ in the additional conditions imposed on the orders of the group elements. Nevertheless, the general answer to Burnside's problem turned out to be negative. Golod [8] provided a counter-example to the Burnside problem. Also, the restricted Burnside problem (RBP), formulated in the 1930, asks another related question; are there only finitely many finite

$m$ -generator groups of exponent  $n$  up to isomorphism? In 1994, Zelmanov said yes to this question.

The group  $B(m, n)$  is known as the  $m$ -generator Burnside group of exponent  $n$  which is defined as follows.

**Definition 2** Let  $F_m$  denote the free group of rank  $m$  on set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . For a fixed  $n$ , let  $F_m^n$  denote the subgroup of  $F_m$  generated by  $g^n$  for all  $g \in F_m$ . Then  $F_m^n$  is a normal subgroup of  $F_m$  and we define the Burnside group  $B(m, n)$  to be the quotient group  $\frac{F_m}{F_m^n}$ .

In 1968 (see [9]) Novikov and Adyan presented the group  $B(m, n)$  by defining relations of the form

$$B(m, n) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m; w^n = 1 \rangle.$$

It is known that  $B(m, n)$  is infinite (see [9]) and non-amenable (see [10]) for  $m \geq 2$  and an odd integer  $n \geq 665$ . On the other hand, since a group with finite exponent and finite commutator subgroup is clearly finite, then  $B(m, n)$  is finite if and only if  $B'(m, n)$  is finite.

**Lemma 2** If  $G = B(m, n)$  then  $\frac{G}{G'} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^m = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_n \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_n}_m$ .

**Proof** First, note that  $\frac{G}{G'} = \frac{F_m}{F_m' F_m^n}$ . Define  $\varphi : F_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^m$  such that  $\varphi(x_i) = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is located in the  $i$ -th place.

The epimorphism is given from Von Dycks' theorem. On the other hand,  $\ker(\varphi) = F_m' F_m^n$ ; indeed, this epimorphism has an Abelian image with exponent  $n$ , then  $F_m' F_m^n$  is contained in  $\ker(\varphi)$ .

Also, if  $\varphi(x_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots x_{i_s}^{\epsilon_s}) = (0, \dots, 0)$  then the sum of exponents  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}$  must be in the form  $nk_j$ . This implies that  $\ker(\varphi) \subseteq F_m' F_m^n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4** The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $Con(B(m, n)) = Con(B(m', n'))$ .
- (ii)  $m = m', n = n'$ .
- (iii)  $B(m, n) \cong B(m', n')$ .

**Proof** It is sufficient to show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $B(m, n)$  and  $B(m', n')$  satisfy in semigroup laws  $w^n = 1$  and  $w^{n'} = 1$  respectively, and  $Con(B(m, n)) = Con(B(m', n'))$  then from Theorem 5.1 of [2],  $n = n'$ . Also, Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 imply that  $|\mathbb{Z}_n^m| = |\mathbb{Z}_n^{m'}|$ . Thus  $m = m'$ .  $\square$

**Question 2** Let  $G$  be finitely generated group such that  $Con(G) = Con(B(m, n))$  for some  $m, n$ . Does it follow that  $G \cong B(m, n)$ ? Note that if  $G$  is a group of exponent  $n$  and  $m$ -generated and further  $G$  and  $B(m, n)$  have isomorphic finite quotients, we can't say that  $G \cong B(m, n)$ . For this, take the intersection of all the normal subgroups of finite index in  $B(m, n)$ . From Zelmanov's solution of the RBP there are only finite number of them. Thus, the intersection is of finite index and the quotient satisfies our request, but it is finite (and of course generally,  $B(m, n)$  is infinite).

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Banach Algebra Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Isfahan. Also, we would like to thank professor Yiftach Barnea for his comments on Question 2.

### References

- [1] Rosenblatt, J. M. and Willis, G. A. Weak convergence is not strong for amenable groups. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 2001. 44: 231-241.
- [2] Abdollahi, A., Rejali, A. and Willis, G. A. Group properties characterised by configurations. *Illinois J. Math.* 2004. 48(3): 861-873.
- [3] Abdollahi, A., Rejali, A. and Yousofzadeh, A. Configuration of nilpotent groups and isomorphism. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications.* 2009. 8(3): 339-350.
- [4] Yousofzadeh, A., Tavakoli, A. and Rejali, A. On configuration graph and paradoxical decomposition. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications.* 2014. 13(2): 87-98.
- [5] Ivanov, A.A. Finitely generated groups having a finite set of conjugacy classes meeting all cyclic subgroups. *Colloquium Mathematicum.* 1999. 82(1): 1-12.
- [6] Warfield, R.B. Genus and cancellation for groups with finite commutator subgroups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* 1975. 6: 125-132.
- [7] Hirshon, R. Some cancellation theorems with applications to nilpotent groups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A.* 1977. 23: 147-165.
- [8] Golod, E.S. On nil algebras and finitely residual groups. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 1964. 273-276.
- [9] Adyan, S.I. and Novikov, P. S. On infinite periodic groups I, II, III. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 1968. 32: 212-244; 251-524; 709-731.
- [10] Adyan, S.I. Random walks on free periodic groups. *Math. USSR Izvestiya.* 1983. 21(3): 425-434. [Russian original: Adyan, S.I. Random walks on free periodic groups. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 1982. 46(6): 1139-1149; 1343].